7	CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF KEIZER, STATE OF OREGON
2	Resolution R20102003_
4 5 6 7 8	ESTABLISHING UPDATE METHODOLOGY FOR PARK SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES (DECEMBER 2009 UPDATE REPORT)
9	WHEREAS, the City of Keizer adopted the Parks and Recreation Master Plan on
10	March 3, 2008 (Ordinance No. 2008-570);
11	WHEREAS, in April 2008, the City engaged Don Ganer and Associates, Inc. to
12	review such Master Plan and prepare an updated Parks Systems Development Charge
13	Methodology Report (Update Report);
14	WHEREAS, the City Council delayed implementing the methodology and new Parks
15	Systems Development Charges due to the severe and historic downturn in the real estate
16	industry;
17	WHEREAS, the City Council feels it is appropriate to move forward with the new
18	methodology and to adopt such methodology to provide the foundation for updated Park
19	System Development Charges to be adopted by separate Resolution;
20	NOW, THEREFORE,
21	BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Keizer that the Parks &
22	Recreation SDC Methodology Update Report (December 15, 2009) attached and by this
23	reference incorporated herein is hereby adopted as the update methodology used to
24	determine maximum Parks Systems Development Charges.
25	
26	
27	



"Pride, Spirit and Volunteerism"

# PARKS AND RECREATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES METHODOLOGY UPDATE REPORT

December 15, 2009

Don
Ganer &
Associates, Inc.

PO Box 91491 • Portland, OR 97291 • 503-690-8981 • DGaner@GanerAssociates.com

# **CONTENTS**

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
2.0	<b>AU</b> T	THORITY AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION	2
	Α.	Legislative Authority	2
	В.	"Improvement fee" and "Reimbursement fee" SDCs	2
	C.	Requirements and Options for Credits, Exemptions, and Discounts	3
3.0	PAF	RKS AND RECREATION SDC METHODOLOGY	5
	A.	Population Growth	5
	B.	Persons Per Dwelling Unit	5
	C.	Facility Needs	6
	D.	Facility Costs	7
	E.	Compliance/Administrative Costs	7
•	F.	Total SDC-Eligible Costs	7
4.0	PA	RKS AND RECREATION SDC RATES	8
	A.	Formula 4a: Improvements Cost Per Capita	8
	В.	Formula 4b: Improvement Cost Per Dwelling Unit	9
	C.	Formula 4c: Property Tax Credit Per Dwelling Unit	9
	D.	Formula 4d: Net SDC Per Dwelling Unit	10
App	endi	c: Capital Improvements Plan	

# City of Keizer

# Parks and Recreation System Development Charges Methodology Update Report

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

System Development Charges (SDCs) are one-time fees charged to new development to help pay a portion of the costs associated with building capital facilities to meet needs created by growth. The City of Keizer (City) first adopted Parks SDCs in 1992, and adopted an updated SDC methodology in 2000.

In April 2008, the City engaged Don Ganer & Associates, Inc. to review the City's recently adopted Parks & Recreation Master Plan (Master Plan), and prepare an updated parks and recreation SDCs methodology report to reflect the growth portion of facility needs identified in the Master Plan.

Section 2.0 of this report presents authority and background information including (1) legislative authority for SDCs; (2) an explanation of "improvement fee" and "reimbursement fee" SDCs; and (3) requirements and options for credits, exemptions and discounts. Section 3.0 presents the methodology used to update the Parks and Recreation SDCs, and section 4.0 presents the calculation of Parks and Recreation SDC Rates.

## 2.0 AUTHORITY AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

# A. Legislative Authority

The source of authority for the adoption of SDCs is found both in state statute and in the City's own plenary authority to adopt this type of fee. While SDCs have been in use in Oregon since the mid-1970's, State legislation regarding SDCs was not adopted until 1989, when the Oregon Systems Development Act (ORS 223.297 - 223.314) was passed. The purpose of this Act was to "...provide a uniform framework for the imposition of system development charges...". Legislative additions and modifications to the Act were made in 1993, 1999, 2001, and 2003. The Oregon SDC Act requires local governments that enact SDCs to:

- adopt SDCs by ordinance or resolution;
- develop a methodology outlining how the SDCs were developed;
- adopt a plan and project list to designate capital improvements that can be funded with "improvement fee" SDC revenues;
- provide credit against the amount of the SDC for the construction of certain "qualified public improvements";
- separately account for and report receipt and expenditure of SDC revenues, and develop procedures for challenging expenditures; and
- use SDC revenues for capital improvements and compliance costs only operations and maintenance uses are prohibited.

#### B. "Improvement fee" and "Reimbursement fee" SDCs

The Oregon Systems Development Act provides for the imposition of two types of SDCs: (1) "improvement fee" SDCs, and (2) "reimbursement fee" SDCs. "Improvement fee" SDCs may be charged for new capital improvements that will increase the capacity of the system in order to provide service to the new development. Revenues from "improvement fee" SDCs may be used for capacity-increasing capital improvements included in a required plan and list of projects that identifies the expected timing, cost, and growth-required percentage for each project. "Reimbursement fee" SDCs may be charged for the costs of existing capital facilities if "excess capacity" is available to accommodate growth. Revenues from "reimbursement fees" may be used for any capital improvement project, including major repairs, upgrades, or renovations. Capital improvements to be funded with "reimbursement fee" SDCs do not need to increase capacity, but they must be included in the list of projects to be funded with SDC revenues.

#### C. Requirements and Options for Credits, Exemptions, and Discounts

## (1) Credits

A credit is a reduction in the amount of the SDC for a specific development. The Oregon SDC Act requires that credit be allowed for the construction of any "qualified public improvement" that (1) is required as a condition of development approval, (2) is identified in the plan and list of projects on which improvement fee SDC revenues may be used, and (3) either is not located on or contiguous to property that is the subject of development approval, or is located on or contiguous to such property and is required to be built larger or with greater capacity than is necessary to meet the needs of the particular development project.

The credit for a qualified public improvement may only be applied against an SDC for the same type of improvement (e.g., a parks improvement can only be used for a credit for a parks SDC), and may be granted only for the cost of that portion of an improvement which exceeds the minimum standard facility size or capacity needed to serve the particular project. For multi-phase projects, any excess credit may be applied against SDCs that accrue in subsequent phases of the original development project.

In addition to these required credits, the City may, if it so chooses, provide a greater credit, establish a system providing for the transferability of credits, provide a credit for a capital improvement not identified in the City's plan and list of projects, or provide a share of the cost of an improvement by other means (i.e., partnerships, other City revenues, etc.).

## (2) Exemptions

The City may "exempt" certain types of development, such as "affordable housing" from the requirement to pay parks SDCs. Unless an alternative source of funding for SDCs is identified, exemptions reduce SDC revenues and, therefore, increase the amounts that must come from other sources, such as property taxes.

# (3) Discounts

The City may "discount" the amount of the SDC by reducing the portion of growth-required improvements to be funded with SDCs. For example, the City may decide to charge new development an SDC rate sufficient to pay only a percentage (i.e., 80%, 60%, etc.) of identified growth-required costs.

Because discounts reduce SDC revenues, they increase the amounts that must come from other sources, such as property taxes, in order to achieve or maintain desired levels of service.

# 3.0 PARKS AND RECREATION SDC METHODOLOGY

The City's Master Plan includes projects designed to address both growth and non-growth needs within the City. The methodology used to develop the City's Parks and Recreation SDCs establishes the required connection between the demands of growth and the SDC by analyzing the proportionate need of facilities for use by current and future residents. The SDCs to be paid by a development meet statutory requirements because they are based on the nature of the development and the extent of the impact of the development on the need for parks and recreation facilities for which they are charged. The Parks and Recreation SDCs are based on population, and the SDC rates are calculated based on the specific impacts developments are expected to have on the City's population.

#### A. Population Growth

The Parks and Recreation SDCs are based on costs per "capita" (person) for each new person expected during the planning period. Table 3.1, below, shows projected population growth for the City through the year 2030.

#### **TABLE 3.1**

# PROJECTED POPULATION INCREASE FROM NEW DEVELOPMENT (2007 - 2030)

Projected <u>2030</u> -		Estimated 2007	=	Projected Increase	
39,994		34,735		5,259	

#### B. Persons Per Dwelling Unit

The Parks and Recreation SDC rates are based on costs per capita and are calculated based on the number of persons per dwelling unit. To determine the appropriate number of persons per dwelling unit, official U.S. Census data for Keizer for the year 2000 was reviewed for single family and multi-family dwelling units, and an estimate was developed for senior housing. This information is displayed in Table 3.2, page 6.

#### **TABLE 3.2**

#### AVERAGE NUMBER OF PERSONS PER DWELLING UNIT

Avg. Persons
Per Dwelling Unit
2.8
2.2
1.1

#### C. Facility Needs

The City's Parks & Recreation Master Plan is incorporated into this report by reference. The plan identifies facilities designed to address both growth and non-growth needs for the City. The Capital Improvements Plan (Appendix) identifies projects designed to meet these needs.

Table 3.3, below, presents a summary of facility needs through the year 2030. The "Current Need" is the share needed to provide facilities to current residents at the levels of service resulting from facilities planned for 2030. The "Growth Need" is the share needed to provide facilities to future residents at the levels of service resulting from facilities planned for 2030.

TABLE 3.3

FACILITIES REQUIRED FOR GROWTH NEEDS

					2030		
	2030 LOS	Current	Current	Surplus	Planned	Growth	Growth
Facility Type	(Units/1000)	Inventory	<u>Need</u>	(Defic.)	<u>Units</u>	<u>Need</u>	<b>Percent</b>
Developed Waterfront							
and Regional Parks (acres)	1.11	1.50	38.47	(36.97)	44.30	5.83	13.6%
Developed Small Parks (acres)	0.98	27.00	33.96	(6.96)	39.10	5.14	42.5%
Developed Large and							
Special Use Parks (acres)	0.94	26.00	32.65	(6.65)	37.59	4.94	42.6%
Natural Areas and Undeveloped							
Park Land (acres)	n/a	157.20	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.00	0.0%
Trails & Pathways (miles)	0.64	24.97	22.38	2.59	25.77	0.80	100.0%

Based on planned 2030 levels of service, there is a 36.97-acre deficiency in developed Waterfront and Regional Parks, a 6.96-acre deficiency in developed Small Parks, and a 6.65-acre deficiency in Large and Special Use Area Parks. Improvement fee SDC revenues may be used only for growth needs, and may not be used to remedy deficiencies. Alternative revenues must be used to repair these deficiencies.

#### D. Facility Costs

The Capital Improvements Plan, included as an appendix to this report, identifies new facilities needed to serve parks and recreation needs of the City's planning area through the year 2030. The total funding needed for all projects included in the Plan is \$14,646,000. The SDC-eligible growth costs included in the Plan total \$4,783,205, including \$1,959,000 for new parkland, \$2,580,205 for new park development, and \$244,000 for trails and pathways.

For each project, the Capital Improvements Plan includes the total project cost, the growth-required portion (percentage), the SDC-eligible cost, and the estimated timing priority.

#### E. Compliance/Administrative Costs

The City incurs costs in the development and administration of the SDCs and may recoup a portion of those costs in accordance with ORS 223.307(5). Compliance/administrative costs through the year 2030 have been estimated as shown in Table 3.5, below:

#### **TABLE 3.4**

## **COMPLIANCE/ADMINISTRATIVE COST ESTIMATES**

Compliance/Administrative Cost	•	<u>Total</u>
Annual SDC-CIP Management, Accounting and Reporting Costs (approximately	× '	
\$10,000 per year for planning, financial reporting and staff services)		\$220,000
Master Plan Update (1 X \$100,000)		\$100,000
Total Estimated Compliance/Administrative Costs	•	\$320,0 <mark>00</mark>

#### F. Total SDC-Eligible Costs

The City's total SDC-eligible costs include the total growth costs (from Appendix) and compliance/administrative costs (from Table 3.4, above). The Total SDC-eligible costs are shown in Table 3.5, below.

#### **TABLE 3.5**

#### TOTAL SDC-ELIGIBLE COSTS

	Total SDC Eligible Costs
Growth Facility Costs	\$4,783,205
PLUS: Compliance/Admin Costs	320,000
Total SDC-Eligible Costs	\$5,103,205

City of Keizer Parks & Recreation SDC Methodology Update Report Don Ganer & Associates, Inc.

#### 4.0 PARKS AND RECREATION SDC RATES

The City's SDC rates are calculated using a series of sequential formulas which, when completed, yield the total parks and recreation SDC for each new dwelling unit in the City. The formulas identify:

- a) the improvements cost per capita (Formula 4a, below),
- b) the improvements cost per dwelling unit (Formula 4b, page 9)
- c) the property tax credit per dwelling unit (Formula 4c, page 9), and
- d) the net SDC per dwelling unit (Formula 4d, page 10).

The SDC rate is an "improvement fee" only, and does not include a "reimbursement fee" component.

#### A. Formula 4a: Improvements Cost Per Capita

The improvements cost per capita is calculated by dividing the SDC-eligible costs (identified in Table 3.5, page 7) by the increase in population expected to be created by new development through 2030 (from Table 3.1, page 5).

Table 4.1 presents the calculations of the improvements costs per capita.

# **TABLE 4.1**

#### IMPROVEMENTS COST PER CAPITA

	· .			Improvements
SDC		Population		Cost
Eligible Costs	÷	<u>Increase</u>	=	Per Capita
\$5,103,205		5,259		<b>\$970</b>

#### B. Formula 4b: Improvements Cost Per Dwelling Unit

The improvements cost per dwelling unit is calculated by multiplying the average number of persons per dwelling unit (from Table 3.2, page 6) by the improvements cost per capita (from Table 4.1, page 9).

			Improvements	Improvements
4b.	Persons Per	X	Cost =	Cost Per
	Dwelling Unit		Per Capita	<b>D</b> welling Unit

The results of these calculations are displayed in Table 4.2, below.

TABLE 4.2
IMPROVEMENTS COST PER DWELLING UNIT

Type of Dwelling Unit	Average Persons Per X Dwelling Unit	Improvements Cost Per Capita	=	Improvements Cost Per Dwelling Unit
Single Family (1 - 2 units)	2.8	\$970		\$2,716
Multi-Family (3 or more units)	2.2	<b>\$970</b>		\$2,134
Senior Housing (10 or more units	s) 1.1	\$970		\$1,067

#### C. Formula 4c: Property Tax Credit Per Dwelling Unit

Debt instruments may be used to fund facilities needed to repair deficiencies, and a portion of these debts will be repaid from property taxes paid by growth. A tax credit has been calculated to account for potential payments in order to avoid charging growth twice; once through the SDC, and a second time through property taxes. A credit has been calculated for each type of dwelling unit using the following assumptions:

- \$8 million in general obligation bonds issued in the year 2013,
- 5.0% average annual increase in total City property valuation for taxes,
- 3.0% annual increase in assessed property valuations,
- 3.0% annual inflation (decrease in value of money),
- average 2008 property valuations for new construction at \$300,000 for single family and \$150,000 for multi-family, and \$100,000 for senior housing dwelling units.

Present Value SDC Tax

4c. of Future Property = Credit Per
Tax Payments Dwelling Unit

The amount of this credit is shown in Table 4.3, below.

## **TABLE 4.3**

## TAX CREDIT PER DWELLING UNIT

	Tax Credit Per
en e	Dwelling Unit
Single Family Dwelling Unit:	\$1,086
Multi-Family Dwelling Unit:	\$543
Senior Housing Dwelling Unit:	\$362

# D. Formula 4d: Net SDC Per Dwelling Unit

The net SDC rate per dwelling unit is calculated by subtracting the tax credit per dwelling unit (Table 4.3, above) from the improvements cost per dwelling unit (Table 4.2, page 9).

	Improvements		SDC Tax		Net
4d.	Cost Per	· -	Credit Per	<b>=</b> ;.	SDC Per
	Dwelling Unit		Dwelling Unit		Dwelling Unit

The results of these calculations are shown in Table 4.4, below.

# **TABLE 4.4**

#### **NET SDC PER DWELLING UNIT**

	Improvements Cost Per Dwelling Unit	SDC Tax - Credit Per Dwelling Unit	=	Net SDC Per Dwelling Unit
Single-Family: Multi-Family: Senior Housing:	\$2,716 \$2,134 \$1,067	(\$1,086) (\$543) (\$362)		\$1,630 \$1,591 \$705

	CARITAL IMPROVE				page
A MATERIONAL DAR	CAPITAL IMPROVE	_	T	1	12/15/0
A. WATERFRONT PARI	<u>KS</u> .	Estimated	Growth-	SDC-Eligible	Project
SDC-CIP Project Number Facility	Action	Project Cost (\$)	Required Portion (%)	Growth Share (\$)	Timing Priority (Years)
		Cost (a)	POLICIT (70)	Growth Share (\$)	(rears)
WP - 1 Palma Ciea					
	p new park facilities on existing undeveloped cland to meet growth and non-growth needs.			· .	
acres =	1.60 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0 - 5
acics –	Development	\$170,000	ł .		0-5
	Total Cost	\$170,000	10.0%	\$23,120	
WP - 2 Wallace Ho					
	p new park facilities on existing undeveloped				
	dand to meet growth and non-growth needs.		· .		
acres =	11.20 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0 - 5
	Development	\$700,000	13.6%	<b>\$95,2</b> 00	
	Total Cost	\$700,000		\$95,200	
<b>Vaterfront Parks Subtotal</b>	<u>s</u>				
Acquisition	0.00	\$0		\$o	
Development	12.80	\$870,000		\$118,320	
TOTAL	A Company of the Comp			l i	
	12,80	\$870,000		\$118,320	
B. SMALL CITY PARKS SDC-CIP Project		Estimated	Growth-	SDC-Eligible	Project
Number Facility	Action	Project Cost (\$)	Required Portion (%)	Growth Share (\$)	Timing Priorit
		5551 (\$7	10(00)	Growen Brianc (4)	Tomag Triorie
SP - 1 Bair Park Develo	p new park facilities on existing undeveloped				
	land to meet growth and non-growth needs.				
acres =	2.10 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	<b>\$</b> 0	0 - 5
40100 -	Development	\$100,000	42.5%	\$42,500	<b>0</b> - <b>3</b>
	Total Cost	\$100,000	12.57	\$42,500	
SP - 2 Ben Miller F	amily Park	,			
	vate and upgrade existing park facilities non-				
	growth needs.				*.
acres =	2.40 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
	Renovate & Upgrade	\$30,000	0.0%	\$0	
	Total Cost	\$30,000		\$0	
SP - 3 Bob Newton	n Family Park				
Renov	vate and upgrade existing park facilities non-				
	growth needs.	* *			
acres =	5.90 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
	Renovate & Upgrade	\$100,000	0.0%	<b>\$</b> 0	
	Total Cost	\$100,000		\$0	
SP - 4 Calmers-Joi	nes Park/Carlson Skate Park				
Renov	ate and upgrade existing park facilities non-				
	growth needs.				
acres =	2.90 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	10 - 15
	Renovate & Upgrade	\$50,000	0.0%	\$0	
	Total Cost	\$50,000		\$0	
SP - 5 Clear Lake I					
Renov	/ate and upgrade existing park facilities non-				
	growth needs.				
acres =	4.00 Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
	Renovate & Upgrade	\$10,000	0.0%	\$0	
	Total Cost	\$10,000		\$0	

			KEIZER PA				page
			CAPITAL IMPROVEN	MENTS PLAN			12/15/0
3. SMALL CI		S (contine	<u>ued)</u>	Estimated	Growth-	SDC-Eligible	Project
SDC-CIP Project				Project	Required		
Number	Facility	A	ction	Cost (\$)	Portion (%)	Growth Share (\$)	Timing Priority
SP - 6	Country (		1				
	Ren	ovate and u	upgrade existing park facilities non-	7		'	
			growth needs.				
	acres =	5.90	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
			Renovate & Upgrade	\$50,000	0.0%	\$0	
			Total Cost	\$50,000		\$0	
SP - 7	Keizer St						
			k facilities on existing undeveloped				
	pa		eet growth and non-growth needs.				
	acres =	1.30	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	. \$0	10 - 15
•			Development	\$0	42.5%	\$0	
			Total Cost	\$50,000		\$0	<u> </u>
SP - 8	Meadows	Park					
	Ren	ovate and ι	pgrade existing park facilities non-				
			growth needs.				
	acres =	3.00	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$o	5 - 10
4.5			Renovate & Upgrade	\$10,000	0.0%	<b>\$</b> 0	
			Total Cost	\$10,000		\$0	
SD . 0	Northiday	/ Terrace Par	4.				•
51 - 3			pgrade existing park facilities non-			4.47	
			growth needs.				
	acres =	2.10	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
			Renovate & Upgrade	\$200,000	0.0%	\$0	
			Total Cost	\$200,000	0.070	\$0	
SD 10	\ \ACH	- M D1		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
3F - 10		e Manor Park ovate and i	ipgrade existing park facilities non-			'	
	IKGII	Ovacc and c	growth needs.			4.0	
	acres =	2.40	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
	acics -	LQ	Renovate & Upgrade	<b>\$</b> 120,000	0.0%	ı	3-10
			Total Cost	\$120,000	0.0%	\$0 \$0	
			<u> </u>	\$120,000		\$0	
SP - 11	Joint Sch	ool Use Parks	(Sites Unidentified)				
			schools				
	acres =	6.00	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0 - 5
			Development	\$1,000,000	42.5%	\$425,000	
		,	Total Cost	\$1,000,000		\$425,000	
SP - 12	New Smal	Park (Site L	hidentified)				
· ·			develop small park to meet growth			j	
			and non-growth needs.			1	
	acres =	4.00	Acquisition	\$800,000	100.0%	\$800,000	5 - 10
			Development	\$1,600,000	42.5%	\$680,000	
			Total Cost	<b>\$2,400</b> ,000		\$1,480,000	
mall Parks Sub	ototals						
Acquisition	1	4.00		\$800,000		\$800,000	
				·		i	
Development		12.10		\$3,270,000		\$1,147,500	
TOTAL		12.10		\$4,070,000		\$1,947,500	

		· ·	KEIZER PARKS				page
·		CAPITAL I	<b>MPROVEMENT</b>	S PLAN			12/15/0
C. LARGE CITY PARKS				Estimated	Growth-	SDC-Eligible	Project
SDC-CIP Project	·			Project	Required		Timing
Number Facility	Actio	<u>n</u>		Cost (\$)	Portion (%)	Growth Share (\$)	Priority
LP - 1 Clagge							
Acq		additional land for e				:	
•	,	serve growth and no	n-growth needs				
acres =	- 11.59	Acquisition		\$1,159,000	•	. '''	<b>5</b> - 10
		Development	ļ	\$641,000	42.6%	\$273,066	
		Total Cost		\$1,800,000		\$1,432,066	
Acquisition	11.59			\$1,159,000		\$1,159,000	
Development	11.59			\$641,000		\$273,066	
TOTAL	11.59			\$1,800,000	1	\$1,432,066	•
. REGIONAL PARKS &		FACILITIES		Estimated	Growth-	SDC-Eligible	Project
SDC-CIP Project				Project	Required	g	Timing
Number Facility	Action	n		Cost (\$)		Growth Share (\$)	Priority
RP - 1 Keizer D	•	ies to serve growth a	and non-growth needs.				
acres =	30.00	Acquisition	1	\$0	0.0%	· \$0	0 - 5
		Development		\$7,500,000	13.6%	\$1,020,000	
<u> </u>		Total Cost		\$7,500,000		\$1,020,000	
	Recreation Facility orm Feasibility stu	dy for facilities to se	rve growth and				
acres =	<b>0.0</b> 0	Acquisition	3	so	0.0%	\$0	0 - 5
		Feasibility Study	1	\$50,000	13.2%	\$6,580	- ~
		Total Cost	·	\$50,000		\$6,580	
egional Parks & Special U	se Facilities Subto	<u>otals</u>					
Acquisition	0.00	* ***	4.	\$0		\$0	
Development	<b>30</b> .00			<b>\$</b> 7,550,000		\$1,026,580	
SUB-TOTAL	30.00			\$7,550,000		\$1,026,580	

			KEIZER PARI	(S		2	page 4
			CAPITAL IMPROVEME	NTS PLAN			12/15/09
SDC-CIP Project			UNDEVELOPED PARKS	Estimated Project	Growth- Required	SDC-Eligible	Project Timing
Number	Facility	Act	tion	Cost (\$)	Portion (%)	Growth Share (\$)	Priority
OS - 1	Hidden Cre	ek Park			ļ		
	Develo	p facilities to	o meet growth and non-growth need	ts.	•		
	acres =	3.70	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	5 - 10
			Development	\$2,000	13.2%	\$263	
			Total Cost	\$2,000		\$263	
OS - 2	Northridge	Park					
	Develop	o facilities to	o meet growth and non-growth need	ls.	1		
	acres =	9.50	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0 - 5
			Development	\$80,000	13.2%	\$10,528	
			Total Cost	\$80,000		\$10,528	
UP - 1	Mike Whitta	am Park					
•	Develop	o facilities to	o meet growth and non-growth need	is.		· .	
	acres =	6.10	Acquisition	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0 - 5
			Development	\$30,000	13.2%	<b>\$3</b> ,948	
			Total Cost	\$30,000		\$3,948	
Natural Area/Open	Space Sub	totals					
Acquisition		0.00		\$0		<b>\$</b> 0	
Development		19.30		\$112,000		\$14,739	
TOTAL		19.30		\$112,000		\$14,739	¥.
F. PATHWAYS A	ND CON	NECTIVITY		Estimated	Growth-	SDC-Eligible	Project
SDC-CIP Project				Project	Required		Timing
Number	Facility	Act	ion	Cost (\$)	Portion (%)	Growth Share (\$)	Priority
PC - 1		athway (Clag o new multi-	ett Creek) use pathway facilities to serve grow and non-growth need			·	
	miles =.	0.80	and non-growth need  Development	\$244,000	100.0%	\$244 COO	5 - 10
	178FC3	0.00	Total Cost	\$244,000	100.0%	<b>\$244,000</b> <b>\$</b> 244,000	3 - 10
Bike/Pathways Sub Development							
(miles)		0.80		\$244,000		\$244,000	
TOTAL MILES		0.80		\$244,000		\$244,000	